

As an amateur radio operator for over 40 years, an electronics instructor, and a retired electrician, I feel considerably qualified to speak against the "BPL" issue.

One of the main jobs of the F.C.C. is spectrum management, and to implement a signal application on a pre-existing, internationally decided, portion of that spectrum that will result in interference to the existing users shows poor management skills.

It also exhibits disregard similar to the movement of "citizens band" frequencies from UHF to the 11 meter ham band in use by our citizens and Canada and Mexico at the time.

One of your descriptive terms regarding the issue was "nervana" perhaps "nerve-ana" with regard to diplomacy and sound policy for a U.S. government department.

When people are disconnected from the internet because of hams operating their equipment in the manner allocated, the ham will become the bad guy, as in the 21mhz I.F., T.V. ch 2, etc.

Why can't there be a resolution that demonstrates consideration commensurate with technological advancement.

Thank You

R. Morgan